

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

TYRONE D. WATSON,

Petitioner,

v.

DAVID J. EBBERT,

Respondent.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:15-cv-00408

(KANE, J.)
(SAPORITO, M.J.)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the Court on a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The petitioner, Tyrone D. Watson, has been resentenced and was released from BOP custody on January 31, 2017. (*See* Doc. 6). Accordingly, it is recommended that the petition (Doc. 1) be **DISMISSED as MOOT**.

Dated: March 9, 2017



JOSEPH F. SAPORITO, JR.
United States Magistrate Judge

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

TYRONE D. WATSON,

Petitioner,

v.

DAVID J. EBBERT,

Respondent.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:15-cv-00408

(KANE, J.)

(SAPORITO, M.J.)

NOTICE


NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the undersigned has entered the foregoing Report and Recommendation dated March 9, 2017. Any party may obtain a review of the Report and Recommendation pursuant to Local Rule 72.3, which provides:

Any party may object to a magistrate judge's proposed findings, recommendations or report addressing a motion or matter described in 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) or making a recommendation for the disposition of a prisoner case or a habeas corpus petition within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. Such party shall file with the clerk of court, and serve on the magistrate judge and all parties, written objections which shall specifically identify the portions of the proposed findings, recommendations or report to which objection is made and the basis for such objections. The briefing requirements set forth in Local Rule 72.2 shall apply. A judge shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made and may

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge. The judge, however, need conduct a new hearing only in his or her discretion or where required by law, and may consider the record developed before the magistrate judge, making his or her own determination on the basis of that record. The judge may also receive further evidence, recall witnesses or recommit the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.

Failure to file timely objections to the foregoing Report and Recommendation may constitute a waiver of any appellate rights.

Dated: March 9, 2017


JOSEPH F. SAPORITO, JR.
United States Magistrate Judge